City of Lafayette Staff Report

For:	Lafayette City Council and City Manager
By:	Jennifer Russell, Parks, Trails and Recreation Director, and the Lafayette Parks, Trails and Recreation Commission
Date Written:	**May 13, 2009**
Meeting Date:	**May 26, 2009**
Subject:	**Parks, Trails and Recreation Capital Improvement Plan**

INTRODUCTION

Presented herein for your approval is an updated Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for the Parks, Trails and Recreation (PTR) program covering the six fiscal years from 2009-10 through 2015-16. For the 2008-09 budget year, the data is part actual and part estimated. The Council approved our last PTR CIP update in June 2008.

BACKGROUND DISCUSSION

This PTR CIP represents a turning point. The last 10-years have focused primarily on the development and rehabilitation of existing parkland, trail and recreation facilities. The prior projects combined with the specific projects in this PTR CIP have led us to the point where the existing properties are almost fully developed. At the same time, the City is about 29 acres short of its parkland goal of 5 acres per 1,000 residents.[1] Therefore, this PTR CIP takes the next step to explicitly include parkland acquisition, as well as the recreational development of that land. Parkland acquisition may be by purchase, dedication, long-term contract or a combination of these.[2] The primary purpose for City-controlled parkland is to provide recreation activity in a neighborhood or community park.[3] Development may be passive or active, or a combination of both. A passive park is like the existing Leigh Creekside Park with benches, a play lawn and a couple picnic tables in a natural setting. Active parks range from Brook Street Park with a children's play structure and picnic tables to Buckeye Fields with sports fields, parking and restrooms.

---

1 The City has 91 acres of parkland. A population of 24,000 at 5 parkland acres per 1000 residents leads to a parkland goal of 120 acres and a shortage of 29 acres.

2 For the City to count the property as meeting its parkland goal or spend capital for recreation facilities, it needs to have sole rights to use the property for recreation purposes for a significant period of years, which includes non-ownership options.

3 Although Parkland is a type of open space, it is important to distinguish parks and recreation facility requirements from the goal of preserving and perhaps acquiring Open Space whose primary purpose is to retain the land in a natural state, with the possible exception of a trail for access through the Open Space property.

8A

The PTR CIP approved by Council in June 2007 began the process of setting aside money for land purchase by creating a Parkland Acquisition Fund, and transferring $200,000 into this fund. On July 28, 2008, the Council approved a new park fee structure and fee levels. There are now two fees on new construction. One is a fee in-lieu of parkland dedication and the other an impact fee for the development of park and recreation facilities.[4] The new structure and related fees became effective at the end of October 2008. These funds are to provide incremental parkland and recreation facilities for the new residents.

Also important to the PTR CIP is the implementation of the Trails Master Plan, including City trails and connections to regional or other local trails as new segments are developed or completed. This CIP expands the number of specific trail projects to achieve this goal. Trails rely on recorded easements and not the purchase of property – although purchase is an option if needed to assure trail continuity or connections.

As part of the process to develop this CIP, meetings were held with Administrative Services and Engineering staff. Three important results came from these meetings.

1. Agreeing on the estimated revenues and expenditures for the remainder of the current 2008-09 fiscal year and for the 2009-10 budget year.
2. Establishing a new Fund 17 for Parkland and Open Space Acquisition effective July 1, 2009.[5] This replaces the Parkland Acquisition Fund that was part of Fund 12. The balance in this portion of Fund 12 will be transferred to Fund 17. Fund 12 will just focus on recreational facilities and improvements. As a result, this PTR CIP has a separate forecast of revenues and expenditures for the parkland portion of the new Fund 17.
3. Identifying Engineering resources that are available towards the end of 2009 to manage parks, trails and recreation facility projects. These Engineering Staff costs are to be covered by Fund 12 revenues and not a General Fund transfer. This is discussed in more detail below on page 6.

At the time of the last PTR CIP, the forecast was for increasing residential construction and therefore increasing Park Fees. During FY2006-07, an all-time record high of about $380 thousand was received, followed by about $323 thousand in FY2007-08. As we all know, the economy has tumbled to historic lows. Construction has taken a huge hit. During February of this year, the lowest fees ever were collected, just over $700 each for the new Parkland Fees and for the new Facilities Fees. On the other hand, the East Bay Regional Park District's (EBRPD) Measure WW passed in November 2008 with over 70% approval. This extended the previous Measure AA

---

4 Park Fees include both Parkland Dedication In-Lieu fees (Parkland Fee) and impact fees (Park Facilities Fee) on new residential construction and additions of living space to existing residential.

5 The new Fund 17 for Parkland Acquisition and Open Space will contain a "sub-fund" for parks that can only be used to acquire parkland, and if needed, to make certain limited infrastructure improvements, primarily to provide access to the site consistent with the Quimby Act provisions.

property tax assessment for parks. Lafayette's per capita share is $1,082,501.[6] This money can be used for any of our proposed parks, trails and recreation projects. It can also be used for the purchase of open space as long as public access is available. For purposes of this CIP, the PTR Commission is including all these funds in the PTR CIP given the City's existing shortage of parkland and up-to-date recreation facilities. The dedication in-lieu fees and the facility impact fees on new construction are to support the new population increments, whereas, the Measure WW funds can help to close the existing gap.

This memo first reviews the funding sources (revenue) for each fund. Next, the specific Fund 12 projects, including significant changes, are described along with their cost estimates and funding sources. Table 1 lists all the explicitly identified PTR CIP projects.

Then, the potential for new parkland acquisition and trail and facility development is discussed along with possible funding sources. These potential projects are described in Table 2.

Table 3 is a summary of the six-year Fund 12 CIP revenues and projects. Table 4 shows the detailed year-by-year Fund 12 revenues, transfers, project capital costs, staff costs and year-end fund balances for three historical and six forecast fiscal years. Table 5 is a new table for Fund 17 and shows the revenues and illustrative parkland acquisition expenditures.

Figure 1 shows existing trails and new trail projects in the vicinity of the Community Center and Burton Ridge, which are part of the Burton Valley area.

REVENUE FORECAST

Park Facility Fees for Fund 12: As discussed in the background, the park-related fee structure was redone in late-October 2008. The first three months of the current 2008-09 fiscal year were under the old regime and $62,455 was received. This is recorded in Fund 12. Under the new structure for November through April, $29,482.86 for Park Facilities Fees was received. The estimate is $4,000 per month for the remaining two months, which brings the total new Park Facility Fee revenue to about $37,500. As discussed next, the new Parkland Fees will be recorded in Fund 17 for future fiscal years. However for the current fiscal year, they are recorded in Fund 12 and then transferred to Fund 17 at year end. As a result, the detailed tables show total Park Fee revenues of about $136,900, of which about $100,000 will be retained in Fund 12.

Looking forward, the economy is likely to experience a slow recovery in the new housing sector at least through 2010. Therefore, this PTR CIP uses a conservative Park Facility Fee estimate of $50,000 for 2009-10 and $100,000 for 2010-11 fiscal years. By the 2011-12 fiscal year, a significant increase to $220,000 is assumed based on a return to historical construction levels. Also, at least two large condominium projects are

[6] This is net after administrative fees are withheld by the EBRPD.

likely to be built as the housing market recovers.[7] However, these fees are not explicitly included in the forecast because of the uncertainty as to the timing, number of units and how much might be reflected in the assumed average housing construction used to develop the forecast. For the remaining years, the forecast is for gradual growth, reaching a total of $270,000 for 2014-15. Over the six-year PTR CIP, the estimate is that $1,150,000 is received from Park Facilities Fees. Additionally, a modest amount of interest is received on the invested portion of the Fund 12 balance. This is forecast to provide about $18,100 over the CIP period.

Park Facility Fees are available for park and trail facilities developments and improvements. Fees collected under the prior fee structure that are part of the Fund 12 balance are also available for parkland acquisition. The designated amount would be transferred to Parkland Acquisition account within Fund 17 as specific parkland purchase opportunities arise that need additional funding, consistent with overall priorities. No transfers are forecast in this PTR CIP.

Parkland Fees for Fund 17: Under the new structure for November through April, $28,872.18 in Parkland Fees (in-lieu of dedication) has been received. The estimate is $4,000 per month for the remaining two months, which brings the total new Parkland Fee revenue to about $36,900 for the current 2008-09 fiscal year. As discussed above, this is initially recorded in Fund 12 and becomes part of the transfer to Fund 17.

For simplicity, the future revenue from Parkland Fees is assumed to be the same as the forecast of Park Facility Fee revenue.[8] Over the 6-year PTR CIP, the estimate is that $1,150,000 is received from Parkland Fees. Additionally, a modest amount of interest is received on the invested portion of the Fund 17 balance. This is forecast to provide about $28,600 over the CIP period.

Grants and Bond Measures: In 1988, Measure AA was passed providing money for acquisition of and improvements to parks and trails. Most of Lafayette's 1988 allocation of $646,000 was spent on projects. However, $113,871 was eventually left "on deposit" with the EBRPD for completing the Burton Ridge (Calaveras Ridge) Trail that is on both Lafayette's and EBRPD's Trails Master Plans.[9] The EBRPD has found these funds are no longer needed for this purpose, and they are now available to the City for other park or trail projects.[10] The Burton Ridge Trail Connector project, described in Table 1, is expected to be submitted to obtain these remaining grant funds.

---

7 A 50-unit condominium/apartment project would be required to provide about 1/3 acre of parkland or pay an in-lieu Parkland Fee of $189,250 plus a Park Facilities Fee of $192,850 based on the current 2009 fee schedule.

8 The two per unit fee levels are currently about the same. However, Parkland Fee will escalate based on average housing sale prices, while the Park Facilities Fee will escalate based on a local construction cost index. These escalations are not forecast, and the two fees are assumed to remain at about the same level for this PTR CIP.

9 The Burton Ridge Trail Area in Lafayette is just one portion of the EBRPD Calaveras Ridge Trail that extends from Crockett to Sunol.

10 See EBRPD Resolution No. 2007-6-139, June 20, 2007, Authorization to Amend the 2007 Budget and Appropriate Measure AA Local Grant Funds to the City of Lafayette.

The biggest new source of grant funding is from the passage of the EBRPD Measure WW that extends the previous Measure AA property tax increment. After deducting administrative fees, Lafayette has $1,082,501 available. This money is received following submittal of project applications and approval by the District that the projects are consistent with the funding requirements. The Commission recommends that the following projects be paid for in whole or in part using these funds: Bike Park, Manzanita Room Improvements and the Burton Ridge Trail Connector. These projects are described in Table 1.

The 2006 Clean Water Bond Act (Proposition 84) included $368,000 in competitive grant funds for regional and local parks. On September 30, 2008, the Governor approved the Statewide Park Program (AB 31) to implement awarding these funds on a competitive basis to park projects, with an emphasis on under-served communities. The PTR department will be reviewing these to see if any of our projects qualify.

Other grants will be sought for specific projects to the extent such funding opportunities are available.

Donations: Many recent projects have received substantial private monetary donations, including Buckeye Fields, The Rink, Petanque and Bocce. Most of the dollar donations have flowed through the City accounting. There have also been substantial donations of volunteer time and expertise to these and other projects. This type of community participation is expected to continue. For this PTR CIP, the Community Center Foundation is shown as contributing $35,000 to the Manzanita Room improvements. The goal is to raise at least $5,000 in donations for the Bike Park, in addition to substantial volunteer work to develop and maintain the bicycle jumps. Donations for other projects may occur, but are not included until commitments are made.

Fund Transfers: General Fund transfers to Fund 12 continue to cover the PTR staff costs allocated to the PTR capital projects. This includes an allocation of the PTR Director's time, as well as allocations of administrative and office costs. This averages about $42,500 per year over the PTR CIP period. Engineering Staff costs for project management will not be covered by a General Fund transfer as discussed below.

Also, $15,000 per year continues to be transferred to Fund 12 from the General Fund to the Playground Equipment Sinking Fund.

At the end of the current 2008-09 fiscal year, the current balance in the Parkland Acquisition Fund that is part of Fund 12 will be transferred to the new Fund 17, into the Parkland Acquisition subaccount. This amount is estimated to be $236,900

Volunteers: Volunteers play a substantial role in maintaining the City's parks, trails and recreation facilities. Examples of these volunteer activities are listed in the Lafayette Parks & Recreation Department Report on Volunteerism and Donations. The Volunteer Trail Maintenance Program, run by former PTR Commissioner John Kiefer, continues to be highly successful year after year. As mentioned, the Bike Park users will be responsible for maintenance of that facility.

SINKING FUNDS

The Playground Equipment Sinking Fund balance is fully used by mid-2009 for the Community Park play area equipment replacements and upgrades. By the end of the PTR CIP, the balance is $75,000 as $15,000 per year continues to be transferred from the general fund to this sinking fund.

As discussed earlier, the Parkland Acquisition Fund is being replaced by the new Fund 17.

SPECIFIC CAPITAL PROJECTS

- Completed Projects: No projects were completed since the last approved CIP.
- Changes in Continuing Projects: On April 27, 2009, a contract was awarded for the construction of the Community Park South-End Children's Play Area. The expected total project costs are about $268,000, well below the 2008-09 budget of $328,646. Estimated opening is late July or early August 2009.

  The bathrooms, ceiling and air conditioning improvements to the Manzanita Room remain a high priority project.[11] A design plan and preliminary cost estimate have been prepared. As a result, the total cost estimate in this PTR CIP is reduced to $525,000 from the prior $800,000. The next steps are to finalize the project scope, prepare construction drawings and specifications, develop a final cost estimate and obtain final Council approval before going out to bid.[12]

  The North End trail weatherization, the Grizzly Creek Bridge and the Murray Lane Path have been combined into one project called the Burton Ridge Trail Connector.[13] This reflects the broader purpose of creating an eventual link from the Community Center – and the EBRPD Lafayette-Moraga Regional Trail – to the Burton Ridge Trail, which is a section of the high priority EBRPD Calaveras Ridge Trail.[14] The estimated total cost has decreased by $18,000 to $712,000.[15]

  Several other continuing projects have minor changes that are described in Table 1.

- New Projects: A Bike Park at the South End of the Community Park has been added. This project has moved forward quickly with the hiring of a design firm consultant and the active involvement of local bike riders. On December 8, 2008, the Council approved an adjustment to the currently-approved PTR CIP for the Bike

---

[11] The improved Manzanita Room will generate incremental revenues that will enhance the self-sufficiency of the Community Center operation.

[12] Part of the decrease results from Engineering Staff assuming project management responsibilities, and these staff costs being included as a separate line item in the CIP.

[13] Although the Burton Ridge Trail Connector is one project, the three sections may be developed on different schedules. Additionally, this project only reaches to Michael Lane. The last legs connecting to the Burton Ridge Trail are included in the Trail projects. These final connectors do not have easements and therefore are listed separately because of uncertain timing.

[14] The City's Trails Master Plan refers to this as Burton Ridge in the Lafayette area. The full EBRPD Calaveras Ridge Trail goes from Carquinez to Sunol.

[15] *{See Footnote 12}*

Park based on a $105,000 budget for Phase 1. The consultant prepared a recommended design for an integrated progression-style bike park, which was approved by the PTR Commission on April 8, 2009.[16] With this plan, it is important to develop the entire Bike Park at the same time; therefore, the phasing concept has been removed. The total estimated cost is now $160,000, down from the prior two-phase cost estimate of $205,000. The design plan, along with a preliminary grading plan, is now under review by the Park Improvement Advisory Group (PIAG) and Planning Staff.[17] As a result, the plan may need additional approvals from the Planning Commission. It may be next year before the Bike Park is operational.

Several specific trail projects have been identified in this PTR CIP. These trails are mostly part of the Trails Master Plan, and this is a step to complete certain trail segments and connectors. Two are feeder trails to the Burton Ridge Trail on yet-to-be determined easements. One is an extension of the Burton Ridge Trail on a recently staked easement connecting to the existing Rohrer Drive Trail. The other is completing two segments of the West Trail.[18]

In the last several years of the CIP, funds are shown as spent on new opportunities as discussed below. Except for Olympic Park, these forecast expenditures are illustrative of what is available based on the revenue stream, and are not based on specific projects.

- Project Management: Following the completion of the Library, Engineering Staff will be available to provide full project management services for the larger PTR projects. Project management includes the following activities:
  - Providing coordination and oversight of design and engineering consultant(s) to prepare design concepts, bid construction plans and specifications and cost estimates;
  - Coordinating feedback review by stakeholders, including PIAG and PTR Commission, to obtain approval of a final design, construction plans, specifications and cost estimates;
  - Conducting the bid process and recommended award of contract;
  - Managing the construction and any change orders; and
  - Providing final inspection and sign off on the completed project.

  In the past, all the City's budgeted staff costs associated with the PTR projects were covered by a General Fund transfer. In the last several years, Engineering Staff has been fully utilized on non-PTR projects, and the transfer has only covered an allocation of PTR staff costs. The recommendation is that, going forward, the

---

16 The progression style provides side-by-side tracks with different skill levels that allow riders to easily choose the track that is appropriate to their ability. The consultant, Hilride, is expert in this type of design.

17 PIAG includes representatives from Design Review, Engineering Staff, PTR Staff, Parks Subcommittee and a landscape professional. Planning Staff often participates in project meetings. The PIAG makes recommendations to the PTR Commission.

18 This trail runs close to the Western border of Lafayette connecting El Nido Ranch Road to EBRPD Briones Regional Park.

project-specific Engineering Staff costs be covered by Fund 12 revenue sources as an implicit part of the PTR project costs. Recently, when Engineering Staff was not available to provide these services, some work has been done by consultant project managers, and these contract project management costs were not covered by a General Fund transfer.

Engineering staff does not allocate time to individual projects. For budget purposes and actual cost accounting, a predetermined percentage allocation of a staff member's time and associated costs is used. Therefore, an Engineering Staff overhead line is added to the Fund 12 costs, along with the PTR Staff overhead. The cost estimates for the first two fiscal years are based on Engineering Staff availability to manage the following projects.

- Manzanita Room and former Book Room Improvements
- Burton Ridge Trail Connector (from the Community Center)
- Olympic Park (assuming the City buys the property from the County)

The cost estimates for the last four fiscal years are based on about 15% of the average facility capital expenditures of about $225,000 per year. The projects being done primarily in 2009 and other smaller projects will continue to be managed by the PTR Director and contracted assistance as needed.

Table 1 describes each project, its status and funding sources. Table 3 is a summary of the six-year CIP, and Table 4 is the detailed breakdown by fiscal year of the forecast revenues and project costs. The last attachment, Figure 1, shows the trail connectors around the Community Park and Community Center, which creates a context for the Burton Ridge Trail Connector and many of the other trail projects.

NEW PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES

As mentioned, this PTR CIP represents a turning point to take the next steps to acquire more park property and build recreation facilities on these properties. The City is about 29 acres short of its goal of having 5 acres of parkland per 1000 existing residents. In addition, as the City population increases through new residential development and living space additions, fees are received to provide park, trails and recreation facilities for these folks. If the City's population grows by 1,000, an additional 5 acres would be needed to meet the City goal. So there is a clear need to add more parkland in Lafayette.

Currently, the PTR Commission and PTR Director are working with a consultant on a Parks Master Plan for the City. Two phases have been completed.[19] The first is a background report on existing parks and recreation facilities and prior studies/surveys. The second is a report on the outreach that was done to define the needs and desires of the citizens. The outreach effort included a community survey. This survey confirmed previously identified needs for more sports fields and neighborhood parks. The survey also identified support for an off leash dog park and parks in the downtown. The target is to have gone through the drafting, public hearing and Council approval process for

[19] Both the Parks Master Plan Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports are available on the City web site.

the Parks Master Plan by this Fall.[20]

The downtown park opportunities have received considerable study and additional community input as part of the process to develop a Downtown Strategy and Specific Plan (Downtown Plan).

Four specific sites for potential new parks have been identified, three of which are in the downtown area. They range in size from ¼ to about 5 acres. Interestingly, all four have a creek bordering one edge. In addition, there are likely other potential sites and opportunities that will become available over the years. For example, none of the four possible sites has a useable area that is large enough for sports fields.

The four sites are generally described in Table 2. Very rough estimates of the costs to acquire and develop these sites are provided. The three downtown sites will receive further review and cost analysis as the Downtown Plan is finalized towards the end of 2009. There is no specific time table for acquiring the downtown sites. Additional revenues from new downtown residential development would be needed to fund a good portion of these locations, including park fees beyond the 6-year horizon of this PTR CIP. Only the Olympic Site is in active discussion for purchase from the County. The estimated purchase cost for this site is included in Fund 17, along with illustrative acquisition costs for unidentified sites based on the revenue stream from Parkland Fees, and not specific opportunities.

These four sites may or may not eventually become park and recreation facilities for the benefit of Lafayette citizens. They are included here to reflect current proposals and discussions. Other sites may emerge as better or higher priority candidates. The PTR CIP and efforts to expand Lafayette's parks would be adjusted accordingly.

RECOMMENDATION

Review and approve this Parks, Trails and Recreation Capital Improvement Plan.

cc: Parks, Trails and Recreation Commission
Parks Maintenance Specialist
City Manager
City Engineer
Administrative Services Director
Community Development Director
Financial Services Manager
Public Works Services Manager
City Attorney

[20] Completing the Parks Master Plan is one of the City Council goals for 2009, as is completing the Downtown Strategy and Specific Plan.

Table 1: Designated PTR CIP Projects

Project (Project Cost)	Project Status	Timing	Cost Estimate and Funding
Lafayette Community Center [Total = $562,000]			
Manzanita Room Improvements ($475,000)	Improvements to add restrooms, add new crossbeams and remove center posts, add large doors to back patio, replace ceiling, install air conditioning, replace the roof, upgrade electric outlets and improve the back patio. Design plan and preliminary cost estimate completed. Architect to be hired to do detailed plans and construction documents. The assumption is that the community hall at the new library will be used for City meetings currently held in the Manzanita Room. These improvements will likely result in increased recreation usage and revenues. The plan is to start construction next spring when recreation uses can best accommodate loss of this facility. Engineering Staff will provide project management.	2009-10	The cost estimate has declined by $275,000 since the last PTR CIP based on a preliminary construction cost estimate by the architect, plus other project costs and contingencies. This lower cost estimate reflects current construction market conditions and an over-cautious prior estimate. Additionally, Engineering will manage this project, and those costs are now included as part of staff overhead. Funding is from Measure WW funds and an expected $35,000 donation from the Community Center Foundation.
Classroom Upgrade ($75,000)	Refurbish the Friends of the Lafayette Library Book Room after they move to the new library. Includes a full redo of the walls, ceiling, windows, heating system and flooring so the space can be used for increased recreation programs and associated revenues. Engineering Staff will provide project management.	2009-10	Rough initial cost estimate increased by $25,000 to make sure everything is covered. A detailed scope and job estimate has not been prepared. Funding is from Park Fees.
Tot Play Area Equipment ($12,000)	Augment the play area in the back of the Community Center with another piece of equipment.	2009	Rough cost estimate for equipment and installation. Increase of $3,000 over prior CIP. Once a specific apparatus is selected, the cost can be refined. Funding is from Park Fees.

Table 1: Designated PTR CIP Projects (continued)

Project (Project Cost)	Project Status	Timing	Cost Estimate and Funding
Lafayette Community Park [Total = $503,000]			
South-End Children's Play Area ($268,000)	This revitalized play area includes a fenced tot area, swings and school age structure, all with a rubberized play surface. The equipment selections, construction plans and specifications were completed by the landscape architect, and approved by the PTR Commission. The equipment has been purchased. A construction contact was awarded on April 27, 2009. Construction is scheduled to start in May, and the project is expected to be complete in late-July or early-August 2009.	2008-09	Costs are based on (1) billings and estimated project management costs by the landscape architect; (2) play equipment costs; (3) awarded construction bid; and (4) a contingency. This final cost estimate is below the current budget of $328,646, reflecting the slow construction market and the significant number of bidders. About $116,000 from the Playground Equipment Sinking Fund and the rest from Park Fees.
Bike Park ($160,000)	A new project. For a number of years, unauthorized building of bicycle jumps in the Community Park has occurred. This official bike park area accommodates both jumps and mountain biking at different skill levels. A bike park expert was hired to develop a design, grading plan, construction documents, and a web site, as well as train the user group in jump and course construction and maintenance.[21] Many user meetings were held, and the web site developed (www.lafayettebikepark.com). A design plan was approved by the PTR Commission. The Planning Staff and PIAG are reviewing the plan, plus a preliminary grading plan, and additional approvals may be needed. Public hearings will be held. At this point, the project schedule is uncertain, and it may be next year before the Bike Park is completed and open.	2009-10	The rough cost estimate for the total project is $160,000. This is more than the Council approved $105,000 for the prior Phase 1, but less than the previous total project estimate of $205,000. The consultant is working on a more detailed cost estimate in conjunction with preparing the detailed plans following the necessary City approvals.

[21] The Bike Park User Group will be responsible for the maintenance of the jumps and tracks. PTR Staff responsibility will be limited primarily to jump design and modification oversight.

Table 1: Designated PTR CIP Projects (continued)

Project (Project Cost)	Project Status	Timing	Cost Estimate and Funding
Lafayette Community Park (continued)			
Community Park Trail Additions & Naturalization ($75,000)	A plan is to be developed and implemented for an expanded trail plan that better reflects the Community Park Master Plan objectives. Certain areas that can be re-naturalized may also be identified and improved. The primary focus is on the central area of the Park. The plan could be done by a consultant.	2010-12	The rough cost estimate includes some clearing, trail development and planting. Better cost estimates will be made after a detailed plan is developed. Grant funds, eagle scout projects and volunteer efforts are expected to contribute to this project. For now, the $75,000 is assumed to be funded from Park Fees.
Burton Ridge Trail Connector [Total = $712,000]			
Design, Drawings, and Specifications ($47,000)	Three projects are being combined as a single Burton Ridge Trail Connector project. This project creates a link from the Community Center and the Lafayette-Moraga Trail to Michael Lane. From there, another trail project awaiting a defined easement connects up to the Burton Ridge Trail. Although there are preliminary designs for some individual segments (see below), a firm is to be hired to integrate all these into one consistent design and specifications. Engineering Staff will provide project management.	2009-10	Rough cost estimate. Note that reductions in the cost estimates for the various project segments reflect Engineering Staff providing project management services and those costs are now included in Staff Overhead. Funding is from Measure WW and Park Fees.
Weatherize North-End Trail ($180,000)	The primary North-End trail is from Silverado Drive to the Community Center Bridge. The new surface would be decomposed granite (DG) with a binder, except near large trees. This trail would be about 1,500 feet long and 8 feet wide. The trail needs to support vehicles since this is a fire road; therefore, the trail base rock and DG needs to be thicker. Preliminary plan done by consultant.	2010-11	The rough estimate is the $20,000 lower that the last PTR CIP since design, drawings and project management are now separate categories. Funding is from Measure WW and Park Fees. It is possible that the Central Contra Costa Sanitary District (CCCSD) may contribute to weatherizing the path as part of its sewer replacement project in the North End.

Table 1: Designated PTR CIP Projects (continued)

Project (Project Cost)	Project Status	Timing	Cost Estimate and Funding
Burton Ridge Trail Connector (continued)			
Grizzly Creek Bridge ($275,000)	This is an important link in creating a year-around trail connection and access to the Community Center from the local neighborhood and as part of the Burton Ridge Trail Connector. Included on the approved Community Park Master Plan. This will be a pedestrian-only bridge, 6 to 8 feet wide.	2010-11	Rough cost estimate based on the costs of the two other bridges built in the Community Park. The rough estimate is the $25,000 lower that the last PTR CIP since design, drawings and project management are now separate categories. Funding is from Measure WW and Park Fees. Later in the year, discussions with CCCSD will see if the District is interested in a joint use bridge to support the sewer line to replace the current siphon into the creek. This would be part of their replacement project.
Murray Lane Path ($210,000)	The path is 6 to 8 feet wide and about 1,800 feet long. It will be DG with a binder creating a natural looking, all weather surface. The path is being designed only for pedestrian use. An initial PIAG review was done, and plans are being revised and expanded. Final design drawings and quantities will be done prior to obtaining bids. A neighbor outreach is in progress, with more needed.	2009-11	The cost estimate is a combination of information from Engineering Staff and the Design Review representative on PIAG. The rough estimate is the $20,000 lower that the last PTR CIP since design, drawings and project management are now separate categories. This portion of the project is planned for submittal to EBRPD to receive the remaining $113,871 of local grant money from the original 1988 Measure AA Bond. Remaining funds are from Measure WW and Park Fees.

Table 1: Designated PTR CIP Projects (continued)

Project (Project Cost)	Project Status	Timing	Cost Estimate and Funding
Trail Development [Total = $101,000]			
Palos Colorados Connector Trail ($16,000)	This trail will connect Lafayette to trails to be built in Moraga as part of the Palos Colorados development. These trails will lead over to the Lafayette Reservoir. Timing is linked to the housing project timing. (See Figure 1.) The trail will be earthen, with railroad tie steps in the steeper sections. The City already has the easement rights. Final alignment to be determined on site.	2010-11	Rough cost estimate based on California Conservation Core (CCC) costs. Funding at this stage is from Park Fees.
Burton Ridge Feeder Trail from Michael Lane ($10,000)	This is an identified connector on the Trails Master Plan. This completes the Burton Ridge Trail Connector project once an easement is obtained in conjunction with the likely subdivision of the property. (See Figure 1.)	2010-11	Rough cost estimate based on CCC costs. Funding at this stage is from Park Fees.
Burton Ridge Feeder Trail from Glenside Drive ($5,000)	This is an identified connector on the Trails Master Plan. The trail depends on obtaining easements in conjunction with the likely subdivision of the property. (See Figure 1.)	2010-11	Rough cost estimate based on CCC costs. Funding at this stage is from Park Fees.
Burton Ridge Trail Extension ($14,000)	This is a new CIP project and is on the Trails Master Plan. Provides a trail connection on an existing easement between the Rohrer Drive Trail (heading south) and the Burton Ridge Trail (heading north). It also traverses EBMUD property next to a water tank and links to Lucas Drive. (See Figure 1.) The trail will be earthen, with railroad tie steps in the steeper sections. Final alignment has been staked following on-site discussion with EBRPD staff and the property owner.	2009-10	Rough cost estimate based on CCC costs. Funding at this stage is from Park Fees.

Table 1: Designated PTR CIP Projects (continued)

Project (Project Cost)	**Project Status**	**Timing**	**Cost Estimate and Funding**
Trail Development (continued)			
West Trail Extensions ($26,000)	This is a new CIP project. The West Trail is on the Trails Master Plan and runs from El Nido Ranch Road north to Briones Regional Park close to the western City limit. This project continues the existing trail from Lorinda Lane to Camelia Lane and from Canyon Road to Happy Valley Road. It is likely that these two extensions will be handled as separate sub-projects. The trail will be earthen, with railroad tie steps in the steeper sections. The City already has the easement rights. Final alignment to be determined on site.	2012-13	Rough cost estimate based on California Conservation Core (CCC) costs. Funding at this stage is from Park Fees.
Unspecified Trail Improvements ($30,000)	This is a placeholder for unspecified trail improvements as they may arise from time to time.	All CIP Years	$5,000 per year. Funding at this stage is from Park Fees.

Table 2: New Parks, Trails and Recreation Opportunities

Project and Description	Rough Cost Estimates and Funding
Olympic Park (Total = $250,000): County-owned property is now being investigated along Olympic Boulevard between Reliez Station Road and Pleasant Hill Road. This County has determined that the property is no longer needed for flood control and is doing an appraisal to determine a value. The City Council formed a task force, which is now working with the County and EBRPD to acquire this site. The site, including property already owned by the City, covers about 5 acres. The EBRPD would have a trail easement and a parking lot easement. This area serves as a staging area for EBRPD trails including the Lafayette-Moraga Trail and the in-progress Calaveras Ridge Trail. The remainder would be for a City park. The specific uses and design would be developed and approved as part of a site-specific Master Plan process. The Lafayette Dog Owners Group is actively promoting a City-owned off-leash dog park at this location. Engineering Staff will provide project management.	The cost for the City to acquire the site from the County is being discussed, but will be based on an appraisal. The PTR CIP assumes $50,000. The cost to build facilities will depend on the uses and the approved Master Plan. For purposes of this CIP, $200,000 is the assumed development cost plus $30,000 for project management by City Engineering Staff. Funding would be from Park Fees. Grants and Community donations will be sought, as appropriate to the approved uses.
More neighborhood parks are desirable in certain areas of the City, as identified in the General Plan. Also, more play fields continue to be needed. This is being addressed as part of the Lafayette Parks Master Plan process.	Costs to purchase parcels could vary from $500,000 to well over $1 million. Development costs would vary depending on proposed uses and facilities.
New Downtown Parks: Three park locations have been identified as part of the Downtown Specific Plan process. This plan is still in the draft stage, and will continue to go through the public review and approval processes, including a full environmental review. The following briefly describes the three parks.[22]	Acquisition costs are based on estimates by the Downtown Specific Plan consultant, WRT. The draft Plan assumes $90 per square foot for the cost to acquire downtown properties. Similarly, development costs are based on rough estimates of costs per acre.

[22] These parks are consistent with the PTR Commission recommendations for Downtown parks included in its March 11, 2009 Memorandum. Additional descriptions, including plan views, are available in that memorandum, which can be found on the City's web site under the Downtown Strategy documents.

Table 2: New Parks, Trails and Recreation Opportunities (continued)

Project and Description	Rough Cost Estimates and Funding
Shield Block Park: This is about 1/4 acre and is the southern portion of the parcel on which the Roundup Saloon and the Hen House are located. The area is currently used as a parking lot. Happy Valley creek runs along the south edge, and the parcel contains several mature and important oak trees. The preliminary thoughts are that this park would be designed to integrate and support retail shopping, as well as provide a possible music venue.	Acquisition at $90/sq.ft. – $980,000 Development for a retail-oriented park – $800,000 Funding could be from new Parkland and Park Facilities Fees, a private/public partnership, grants, contributions, or a combination thereof.
Library Park: This is a about a 1/3 acre parcel on the south east corner of Golden Gate Way and First Street. This area is currently used for parking, and is bounded on the south by Las Trampas Creek. This would be an active park with children's play equipment and perhaps some picnic areas that work in conjunction with the new library and the elementary and middle school students will likely frequent this area.	Acquisition at $90/sq.ft. – $1,300,000 Development for an active park with children's play structures – $800,000 Funding could be from Parkland and Park Facilities Fees, a private/public partnership, grants, contributions, or a combination thereof.
Gazebo Park: This is comprised of the existing City property—a parking area, the Gazebo and a Garden Club area—along with adding an adjacent 1/3 acre parcel. This would provide just about 3/4 acre of park space. This parcel is bordered on the south by Las Trampas Creek. An EBRPD trail goes through this area. This is envisioned as a passive park with picnic tables and benches, as a wayside rest for bicyclists and downtown pedestrians. It is not suitable for children's facilities given its location along busy Mt. Diablo Boulevard.	Acquisition at less than $90/sq.ft.because portions of parcel are creek – $900,000 Development for a passive park – $700,000 Funding could be from Parkland and Park Facilities Fees, a private/public partnership, grants, contributions, or a combination thereof.

Table 3 – Summary of Fund 12 Revenues and Projects for the Six Fiscal Years

Starting Fund 12 Balance - July 1, 2009	**$401,900**
Revenues	**Six-Year Totals**
Fees Available for Projects	$1,150,000
Interest	$18,100
Return of Measure AA Funds	$113,871
Measure WW Funds	$1,082,501
Contributions	
Manzanita Room (Com. Center Foundation)	$35,000
Bike Park Fund	$5,000
Subtotal Contributions	$40,000
Transfer for PTR Staff	$255,300
Transfer for Playground Equipment	$90,000
Total Fund 12 Revenues	**$2,749,772**
Funds Available (Revenue + Balance)	**$3,151,672**
Net To Playground Equip Sinking Fund	$75,000
Net Funds Available for Projects	**$3,076,672**

Projects	**Last CIP**	**Proposed**	**Difference**	**Spent in 2008-09**
Community Center				
Manzanita Room				
Design, Const. Drawings/Specs		**$55,000**		$25,000
Construction Costs		**$420,000**		
Subtotal Manzanita	$800,000	**$475,000**	-$325,000	$25,000
Upgrade Play Area (In Back)	$12,000	**$12,000**	$0	**$12,000**
Refurbish Classroom (Friends)	$50,000	**$75,000**	$25,000	
Subtotal Community Center	**$862,000**	**$562,000**	-$300,000	$37,000
Community Park				
Redo Children's Playground	$260,000	**$267,521**	$7,521	$187,916
Bike Park	$0	**$160,000**	$160,000	$15,000
Trail Additions and Naturalization	$75,000	**$75,000**	$0	
Subtotal Community Park	**$335,000**	**$502,521**	$167,521	$202,916
Burton Ridge Trail Connector				
Design, Const. Drawings/Specs	$0	**$47,000**	$47,000	
Weatherize North End	$200,000	**$180,000**	-$20,000	
Grizzly Creek Bridge	$300,000	**$275,000**	-$25,000	
Murray Lane Path	$230,000	**$210,000**	-$20,000	
Subtotal Burton Ridge Trail Connector	**$730,000**	**$712,000**	-$18,000	$0
Olympic Park				
Develop Park Master Plan		**$50,000**	$50,000	
Install Improvements		**$200,000**	$200,000	
Subtotal Olympic Park	**$0**	**$250,000**	$250,000	$0
Trails				
Connector to Palos Colorados	$45,000	**$16,000**	-$29,000	
Connectors to Burton Ridge Trail	$50,000	**$15,000**	-$35,000	
Burton Ridge Trail Extension		**$14,000**	$14,000	
West Trail Extensions		**$26,000**	$26,000	
Other Trail Improvements		**$30,000**	$30,000	
Subtotal Trails	**$95,000**	**$101,000**	$6,000	$0
Total Identified Projects	**$2,022,000**	**$2,127,521**	$105,521	$239,916
Staff Overhead				
PTR Staff		**$255,300**		
Engineering Staff Project Management		**$284,724**		
Subtotal City Staff		**$540,024**		
Total Fund 12 Expenditures		**$2,667,545**		
Funds Remaining after Specific Projects		**$649,043**		
Unspecified Projects at New Parks		**$645,000**		
Ending Fund 12 Balance - June 30, 2015		**$4,043**		

Table 4 – Detailed Fund 12 Facilities CIP by Fiscal Year

	Grand Totals[1]	Total Actuals[1]	Actual 2006–2007	Actual 2007–2008	Estimated 2008-2009	Total within 6-yr Plan	FY 1 2009–2010	FY 2 2010–2011	FY 3 2011–2012	FY 4 2012–2013	FY 5 2013–2014	FY 6 2014–2015
REVENUES												
Park Fees for Fund 12												
Park Fees before October 2008	$2,182,857	$2,182,857	$379,639	$323,399	$62,455	$0						
Parkland Fees [3]	$36,900	$36,900			$36,900							
Park Facilities Fees	$1,187,500	$37,500			$37,500	$1,150,000	$50,000	$100,000	$220,000	$250,000	$260,000	$270,000
Subtotal Park Fees	**$3,407,257**	**$2,257,257**	**$379,639**	**$323,399**	**$136,855**	**$1,150,000**	**$50,000**	**$100,000**	**$220,000**	**$250,000**	**$260,000**	**$270,000**
Interest on Fund 12 Account[2] + Misc Revenue	$159,580	$141,480	$14,343	$25,247	$14,428	$18,100	$9,000	$2,600	$1,300	$1,400	$1,700	$2,100
Contributions												
Roller Hockey Fund (Community Center Roller Hockey)	$69,929	$69,929	$64,429	$5,500		$0						
Bike Park Fund (Community Park)	$5,000	$0				$5,000	$5,000					
Petanque Fund (Relocation of Courts)	$10,185	$10,185	$10,185			$0						
Community Center Foundation (Manzanita Room)	$50,000	$15,000				$35,000	$35,000					
Subtotal Contributions	$335,231	$295,231	$74,614	$5,500	$0	$40,000	$40,000	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
Local Bonds												
Measure WW from EBRPD	$1,082,501					$1,082,501	$545,000	$537,501	$0			
Return of Measure AA from EBRPD	$113,871	$0				$113,871	$113,871					
Subtotal Local Bonds	$1,196,372		$0	$0	$0	$1,196,372	$658,871	$537,501	$0	$0	$0	$0
Grants	$0	$0				$0						
State Funded Grants												
Subtotal State Funding	$2,231,165	$2,231,165	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
Fund Transfers												
General Fund: Staff Overhead and Supplies	$653,290	$397,990	$33,423	$33,141	$37,141	$255,300	$39,000	$40,200	$41,600	$43,100	$44,800	$46,600
General Fund: Playground Equip. Sinking Fund	$195,000	$105,000	$30,000	$15,000	$15,000	$90,000	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000
To Fund 17: Parkland Acquisition	($236,900)	($236,900)			($236,900)	$0						
From Fund 12 to Fund 11 for Ball Field Rehabilitation	($10,000)	($10,000)	$10,000	($10,000)		$0						
Subtotal Transfers	$871,569	$526,269	$73,423	$38,141	($184,759)	$345,300	$54,000	$55,200	$56,600	$58,100	$59,800	$61,600
TOTAL FISCAL YEAR REVENUES	$8,201,175	**$5,451,403**	**$542,019**	**$392,286**	**($33,476)**	**$2,749,772**	**$811,871**	**$695,301**	**$277,900**	**$309,500**	**$321,500**	**$333,700**
Balance Forward			$979,639	$961,880	$721,382		$401,900	$164,442	$48,543	$41,843	$53,243	$63,943
Total Funds Available Before Fund Balances			**$1,521,658**	**$1,354,166**	**$687,907**		**$1,213,771**	**$859,743**	**$326,443**	**$351,343**	**$374,743**	**$397,643**
Playground Equipment Sinking Fund												
Transferred to Playground Equip. Sinking Fund		$173,929	$30,000	$15,000	$15,000	$90,000	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000	$15,000
Spent on Playground Refurbishment		($173,930)	$0	$0	($101,303)	($15,000)	($15,000)		$0			
End-of-Year Balance in Playground Equipment Sinking Fund			$71,303	$86,303	$0		$0	$15,000	$30,000	$45,000	$60,000	$75,000
TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE After Fund Balances			**$1,450,355**	**$1,267,863**	**$687,907**		**$1,213,771**	**$844,743**	**$296,443**	**$306,343**	**$314,743**	**$322,643**

(1) Totals include revenues and costs since the 1998-99 Fiscal Year.
(2) Assumed Percent Interest on Average Fund 12 Balance — 2.50% | 3.00% | 3.00% | 3.00% | 3.00%
(3) Parkland Fees only recoreded in Fund 12 from October 2008 through June 2009 when the Parkland Sinking Fund balance is transferred to the new Fund 17.

Table 4 – Detailed Fund 12 Facilities CIP by Fiscal Year (continued)

	Grand Totals[1]	Total Actuals[1]	Actual 2006–2007	Actual 2007–2008	Estimated 2008-2009	Total within 6-yr Plan	FY 1 2009–2010	FY 2 2010–2011	FY 3 2011–2012	FY 4 2012–2013	FY 5 2013–2014	FY 6 2014–2015
PROJECT CAPITAL EXPENDITURES												
>> LAFAYETTE COMMUNITY CENTER (500 St. Mary's Road)												
Redo Book Room to a Class Room	$75,000	$0				$75,000	$75,000					
Manzanita Room												
Design and Construction Drawings/Specifications	$55,000	$25,000			$25,000	$30,000	$30,000					
Construction Costs	$420,000	$0				$420,000	$420,000					
Subtotal Manzanita	$552,339	$102,339	$0	$0	$25,000	$450,000	$450,000	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
Parking and Roller Hockey												
Design, Consulting and Geotech	$68,990	$68,990				$0						
Construction, including Equipment	$1,059,934	$1,059,934	$458,617	$591,317	$10,000	$0						
Subtotal Parking and Roller Hockey	$1,128,924	$1,128,924	$458,617	$591,317	$10,000	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
Tot Play Area (In Back)												
Equipment Upgrade	$12,000	$12,000			$12,000	$0						
Subtotal Tot Play Area	$145,954	$145,954	$0	$0	$12,000	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
Total Community Center	**$1,916,247**	**$1,391,247**	**$458,617**	**$591,317**	**$47,000**	**$525,000**	**$525,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
>> LAFAYETTE COMMUNITY PARK (480 St. Mary's Road)												
South-End Improvements												
Children's Play Area												
Design and Construction Drawings/Specifications	$30,862	$25,257		$5,355	$15,000	$5,605	$5,605					
Equipment Purchase	$113,641	$113,641			$102,916	$0						
Construction Management	$4,000	$0				$4,000	$4,000					
Construction plus extra Contingency	$140,000	$70,000			$70,000	$70,000	$70,000					
Subtotal Children's Play Area	$288,503	$208,898	$0	$5,355	$187,916	$79,605	$79,605	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
Bike Park												
Design and Training	$20,000	$15,000			$15,000	$5,000	$5,000					
Project Management	$10,000	$0				$10,000	$10,000					
Construction	$130,000	$0				$130,000	$130,000					
Subtotal Bike Park	$160,000	$15,000	$0	$0	$15,000	$145,000	$145,000	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
Subtotal South-End	$1,104,783	$880,178	$68,271	$5,355	$202,916	$224,605	$224,605	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
Trails and Naturalization												
Trail Additions and Naturalization	$75,000	$0				$75,000		$25,000	$50,000			
Subtotal Trails/Naturalization	$89,950	$14,950	$0	$0	$0	$75,000	$0	$25,000	$50,000	$0	$0	$0
Total Community Park	**$1,535,856**	**$1,236,251**	**$68,271**	**$5,355**	**$202,916**	**$299,605**	**$224,605**	**$25,000**	**$50,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
>> BUCKEYE FIELDS (711 St. Mary's Road)												
Total Buckeye Fields	**$1,530,704**	**$1,530,704**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
>> LEIGH CREEKSIDE PARK (Corner of Moraga Boulevard and Fourth Street)												
Total Leigh Creekside Park	**$483,454**	**$483,454**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
>> BROOK STREET PARK (3562 Brook Street)												
Total Brook Street Park	**$36,929**	**$36,929**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**

Table 4 – Detailed Fund 12 Facilities CIP by Fiscal Year (continued)

	Grand Totals[1]	Total Actuals[1]	Actual 2006–2007	Actual 2007–2008	Estimated 2008-2009	Total within 6-yr Plan	FY 1 2009–2010	FY 2 2010–2011	FY 3 2011–2012	FY 4 2012–2013	FY 5 2013–2014	FY 6 2014–2015
PROJECT CAPITAL EXPENDITURES (continued)												
>> BURTON RIDGE TRAIL CONNECTOR (from Community Center)												
Design, Master Plan and Construction Documents	$47,000	$0		$0		$47,000	$47,000					
Weatherize North-End Trail	$180,000	$0				$180,000		$180,000			$0	$0
Grizzly Creek Bridge	$275,000	$0				$275,000		$125,000	$150,000			
Murray Lane Path	$210,000					$210,000		$210,000				
Total Burton Ridge Trail Connector	**$712,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$712,000**	**$47,000**	**$515,000**	**$150,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
>> TRAILS												
Connector from EBRPD Regional Trail to Palos Colorados	$16,000	$0				$16,000		$16,000				
Burton Ridge Feeder Trail from Michael Lane	$10,000	$0				$10,000		$10,000				
Burton Ridge Feeder Trail from Glenside Drive	$5,000	$0				$5,000			$5,000			
Burton Ridge Trail Extension (at Rohrer Drive)	$14,000	$0				$14,000	$14,000					
West Trail Extensions	$26,000	$0				$26,000				$26,000		
Unspecified Trail Improvements	$30,000	$0	$0	$0	$0	$30,000	$5,000	$5,000	$5,000	$5,000	$5,000	$5,000
Total Trails	**$111,390**	**$10,390**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$101,000**	**$19,000**	**$31,000**	**$10,000**	**$31,000**	**$5,000**	**$5,000**
>> OLYMPIC PARK												
Design and Master Plan	$50,000	$0				$50,000	$50,000					
Improvements	$200,000	$0				$200,000	$75,000	$125,000				
Total Olympic Park	**$250,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$250,000**	**$125,000**	**$125,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**
>> FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS AT UNSPECIFIED LOCATIONS (following Parkland purchase)												
Improvements	$645,000	$0				$645,000				$190,000	$225,000	$230,000
Total Unspecified Parks	**$645,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$645,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	**$190,000**	**$225,000**	**$230,000**
Subtotal Projects			**$526,888**	**$596,672**	**$249,916**	**$2,532,605**	**$940,605**	**$696,000**	**$210,000**	**$221,000**	**$230,000**	**$235,000**
Staff Overhead												
Parks, Trails and Recreation Staff[3]	$703,099	$447,799	$32,890	$36,112	$36,091	$255,300	$39,000	$40,200	$41,600	$43,100	$44,800	$46,600
Engineering Staff (Estimated based on Projects)	$284,724	$0				$284,724	$69,724	$75,000	$33,000	$34,000	$36,000	$37,000
Total Staff Overhead			$32,890	$36,112	$36,091	$540,024	$108,724	$115,200	$74,600	$77,100	$80,800	$83,600
TOTAL EXPENDITURES			**$559,778**	**$632,784**	**$286,007**	**$3,072,629**	**$1,049,329**	**$811,200**	**$284,600**	**$298,100**	**$310,800**	**$318,600**
Fiscal Year Revenues minus Expenses			($17,759)	($240,498)	($319,483)		($237,458)	($115,899)	($6,700)	$11,400	$10,700	$15,100
FUND 12 BALANCE At End of Fiscal Year			**$961,880**	**$721,382**	**$401,900**		**$164,442**	**$48,543**	**$41,843**	**$53,243**	**$63,943**	**$79,043**
Total Sinking Fund Balances			$71,303	$86,303	$0		$0	$15,000	$30,000	$45,000	$60,000	$75,000
NET FUND 12 BALANCE At End of Fiscal Year			**$890,577**	**$635,079**	**$401,900**		**$164,442**	**$33,543**	**$11,843**	**$8,243**	**$3,943**	**$4,043**
(3) Assumed PTR Staff Overhead Escalation Rate								3.00%	3.50%	3.50%	4.00%	4.00%

Table 5 – Detailed Fund 17 Parkland Acquisition Plan by Fiscal Year

	Grand Total	Total Actuals	Estimated 2008-2009	Total within 6-yr Plan	FY 1 2009–2010	FY 2 2010–2011	FY 3 2011–2012	FY 4 2012–2013	FY 5 2013–2014	FY 6 2014–2015
REVENUES										
Parkland Dedication In-Lieu Fees	$1,150,000	$0		$1,150,000	$50,000	$100,000	$220,000	$250,000	$260,000	$270,000
Interest on Fund 17 Balance [1]	$28,600	$0		$28,600	$4,000	$7,300	$6,200	$1,500	$4,700	$4,900
Transfers										
From Fund 12 for Parkland Acquisition [2]	$236,900	$236,900	$236,900	$0						
Subtotal Transfers	$236,900	$236,900	$236,900	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0
TOTAL FISCAL YEAR REVENUES	**$1,415,500**	**$236,900**	**$236,900**	**$1,178,600**	**$54,000**	**$107,300**	**$226,200**	**$251,500**	**$264,700**	**$274,900**
Balance Forward [2]			$0		$236,900	$240,900	$348,200	$74,400	$25,900	$290,600
TOTAL FUNDS AVAILABLE			**$236,900**	**$2,395,500**	**$290,900**	**$348,200**	**$574,400**	**$325,900**	**$290,600**	**$565,500**
(1) Assumed Percent Interest on Fund 17 Average Balance						2.50%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%

(2) Transfers from Fund 12 are from fees collected prior to new fee structure starting about November 2008.

PARKLAND ACQUISITION										
Olympic Park	$50,000	$0		$50,000	$50,000					
Unspecified Locations	$1,325,000	$0		$1,325,000			$500,000	$300,000		$525,000
Total Parkland Acquisition	**$1,375,000**	**$0**	**$0**	**$1,375,000**	**$50,000**	**$0**	**$500,000**	**$300,000**	**$0**	**$525,000**
Staff Overhead										
TOTAL EXPENDITURES			**$0**	**$1,375,000**	**$50,000**	**$0**	**$500,000**	**$300,000**	**$0**	**$525,000**
Fiscal Year Revenues minus Expenses			$236,900		$4,000	$107,300	($273,800)	($48,500)	$264,700	($250,100)
FUND 17 BALANCE At End of Fiscal Year			**$236,900**		**$240,900**	**$348,200**	**$74,400**	**$25,900**	**$290,600**	**$40,500**

Figure 1 – Existing and Proposed Trail Projects in Burton Valley Area